Contents lists available at ScienceDirect





Medical Hypotheses

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mehy

SARS-CoV-2 infection pathogenesis is related to oxidative stress as a response to aggression



Rubens Cecchini^a, Alessandra Lourenço Cecchini^{b,*}

^a Laboratory of Pathophysiology and Free Radicals, Department of Pathological Sciences, State University of Londrina, UEL, Londrina, PR, Brazil ^b Laboratory of Molecular Pathology, Department of Pathological Sciences, State University of Londrina, UEL, Londrina, PR, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: COVID-19 Nrf-2 NF-кВ Sepsis Hypoxia Antioxidants

ABSTRACT

Since the WHO declared COVID-19 a pandemic, a great effort has been made to understand this serious disease. Thousands of studies are being devoted to understanding its epidemiology, its molecular characteristics, its mechanisms, and the clinical evolution of this viral infection. However, little has been published on its pathogenesis and the host response mechanisms in the progress of the disease. Therefore, we propose a hypothesis based on strong scientific documentation, associating oxidative stress with changes found in patients with COVID-19, such as its participation in the amplification and perpetuation of the cytokine storm, coagulopathy, and cell hypoxia. Finally, we suggest a therapeutic strategy to reduce oxidative stress using antioxidants, NF- κ B inhibitors, Nrf2 activators, and iron complexing agents. We believe that this hypothesis can guide new studies and therapeutic strategies on this topic.

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) [1] has emerged recently as a new challenge for the medical sciences. Although coronavirus disease 2019, COVID-19, primarily causes respiratory disease, its pathogenesis is still poorly understood. COVID-19 was considered a pandemic by the World Health Organization on March 11, 2020, because it was widely spread around the globe and presented a high rate of human-to-human transmission. It has been reported that COVID-19 progression involves initial symptoms of mild chills and a dry cough, followed by fever, fatigue, and shortness of breath with dyspnea, and, in more severe cases, hypoxemia with oxygen saturation under 85%.[2] Several signs and symptoms presented by patients with COVID-19 have been described, from asymptomatic patients with a mild cold, to important changes in clinical and laboratory examinations, which can progress to severe organ failure and death. The changes observed include decreased total lymphocytes, prolonged prothrombin time, elevated lactate dehydrogenase, cellular immune deficiency, coagulation activation, myocardial, hepatic, and renal injury [3,4] and endotheliitis in the lung, heart and small bowel [5,6] (Fig. 1.).

Although the mechanisms involved in these changes are not known, observation by the naked eye of the lungs of patients with SARS-CoV-2 showed pathological characteristics of exudative proteinaceous lesion,

while microscopic examination showed inflammatory lymphocytic infiltrates [7]. Biopsy and post-mortem studies show diffuse alveolar damage with the formation of hyaline membranes, mononuclear cells and macrophages infiltrating the air spaces, and diffuse thickening of the alveolar wall. In addition, spleen atrophy, hilar lymph node necrosis, focal hemorrhage in the kidney and, enlarged liver with inflammatory cell infiltration constitute considerable worsening during the disease [2,8]. Some patients have poor outcomes in COVID-19 that mostly correlates with clinical and laboratory features of a cytokine storm. Moreover, hypercoagulation and hypoxia in multiple organs are the worst scenario. Oxidative stress by reactive oxygen species (ROS) is related to all the main changes observed in other inflammatory and infectious diseases and could be the connecting point that unites all these events. Our study focused on the host's response to viral infection, emphasizing oxidative stress rather than the virus's mechanisms of aggression.

The cytokine storm and oxidative stress

Many studies have related the cytokine storm to the deterioration in the patient's condition with COVID-19 infection and it is considered a major factor in the development of acute respiratory distress syndrome and multiple organ dysfunction [2,9–14]. A previous study demonstrated that influenza infection induced expression of cytokines via

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110102

[°] Corresponding author at: Laboratório de Patologia Molecular, Universidade Estadual de Londrina, Rodovia Celso Garcia Cid, PR445, Km 380 Campus Universitário, Londrina, CEP 86051-990 Paraná, Brazil.

E-mail address: alcecchini@uel.br (A.L. Cecchini).

Received 12 June 2020; Received in revised form 4 July 2020; Accepted 10 July 2020 0306-9877/ @ 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.



Fig. 1. After the virus enters the airways, its replication occurs, and the immune innate response begins with the activation of macrophage and dendritic cells via Toll-like and NOD receptors against the production of inflammatory cytokines and reactive oxygen species (ROS). The consequent spread to the blood has two consequences: 1) erythrocytes are damaged by ROS and other inflammatory mechanisms leading to the generation of heme and free iron; and 2) activated macrophages and neutrophils produce respiratory bursts generating superoxide radicals and H₂O₂ leading to oxidative stress. Oxidative stress plus free iron converts soluble plasma fibrinogen into abnormal fibrin clots in the form of dense matted deposits (DMD resistant to the enzymatic degradation; blood clots), leading to microthrombosis in the vascular system and the pulmonary microcirculation. The cytokine storm occurs through the upregulation of cytokine expression via NF-κB. After this scenario is established, the cytokine storm induces oxidative stress via macrophage and neutrophil respiratory burst activity, and oxidative stress induces the cytokine storm. This cycle provokes serious tissue damage independent of the virus. In addition, mitochondria produce ROS, which increases iNOS expression via NF-κB and, consequently, NO formation. NO induces dysfunctional mitochondria that, in turn, results in cytopathic hypoxia. Moreover, the virus inhibits Nrf2, responsible for the increase in enzymatic antioxidants, establishing the oxidative stress. Overall, low hemoglobin-carrier, high lung proteinaceous exudate leads to pulmonary hypoxia, cytopathic hypoxia and endothelium damage, and disseminated coagulation results in multiple organ collapse.

activation of pattern recognition receptors, including the Toll-like receptors TLR3, TLR7, and TLR8, retinoic acid-inducible gene I, and the NOD-like receptor family members in lung epithelial cells, macrophages, and dendritic cells [4,15]. It is possible that the innate immune response in COVID-19 infection follows the same pathways. The inflammasome is an important element in the cytokine storm [16] and studies have shown that ROS is a potent ligand and direct mediator for triggering NLRP3 (NOD-like receptors P3) inflammasome. Additionally, TLR and NLR ligands enhanced NF-KB driven transcriptional levels of NLRP3. NF-KB, in turn, is activated by ROS, thus direct or indirectly, inflammasome is increased by ROS [17-19]. Besides ROS, H₂O₂ mainly activates NF-KB to produce inflammatory cytokines [20,21]. Hyperproduction of IL-6, TNFa, IL-1β, interferon-gamma inducible protein 10, granulocyte-colony stimulating factor, monocyte chemoattractant, macrophage inflammatory proteins $1-\alpha$ and elevated blood ferritin are also observed in COVID-19 patients [16]. Similar to influenza infection, COVID-19 infection appears to have an initial pulmonary phase that consists of virus replication and inflammation, with the involvement of ROS as a direct and indirect NLRP3 inflammasome activator, and subsequent blood dissemination, possibly associated with the adaptive immune response to oxidative stress as a mechanism of systemic injury. It is important to understand the mechanism by which SARS-CoV-2 induces pulmonary and systemic injury, and whether the virus is solely responsible or whether there are other synergistic mechanisms that sustain and aggravate the tissue damage observed in this disease.

Hematological findings and oxidative stress

During the disease progression, patients with COVID-19 show disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, characterized by prominent elevation of fibrin/fibrinogen degradation products (D-dimer) [12,13,23] which can translocate to extravascular space and damage the cells in the tissue [24]

Hematological disorders have also been reported as inflammation biomarkers. For hemoglobin levels, a meta-analysis study of 1,210 COVID-19 patients showed significant lower hemoglobin levels of 7.1 g/L or even 5.9 g/L in severe cases [24]. In another study, besides presenting decreased hemoglobin, patients with coronavirus pneumonia presented elevated serum ferritin, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, lactate dehydrogenase activity, and acidosis [16]. In addition to the inflammation markers, the disseminated intravascular coagulation contributes to the establishment of organ failure due to hypoxia [25,26]. Treatment of 27 coronavirus patients with heparin promoted recovery in all of them [27], contributing to the proposal of a treatment protocol to be applied to hospitalized patients. Another observation made about patients with severe COVID-19 progression is that they developed typical clinical manifestations of shock, characteristic of the evolution to viral sepsis [4]. It has been shown that free hemoglobin and heme is augmented in the blood of patients with sepsis due to hemolysis [23]. Although several mechanisms involved in hemolysis have been described in viruses infections, there are a number of studies

showing that ROS play a role in damaging the membrane of erythrocytes [23,28]. The production of high ROS levels in septic patients leads to alterations in the erythrocyte membrane that, in turn, induces phagocytosis in macrophages and neutrophils, perpetuating a cycle with further production of ROS [29]. This may contribute to increased free hemoglobin and low levels of oxygen transport to the tissues [29,30]. Free hemoglobin is dissociated, generating heme, which then is degraded by heme oxygenase (OH-1) to produce free iron; both heme and free iron are harmful to cells [30]. A murine study of a sepsis model by cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) showed increased total body free iron up to three times higher when compared to the control [31]. In addition, administration of apoferritin (ferritin that is not combined with iron) and the induction of the expression of ferritin heavy chain. decreases CLP mice mortality [32]. Reduced oxygen saturation leads to superoxide radical and H_2O_2 generation by mitochondria [33–35]. Superoxide anion radical reduces iron (III) to iron (II), which, in the presence of H₂O₂, also generated by phagocytic cells in the inflammatory environment, produces hydroxyl radicals ('OH), an extremally toxic agent that promotes the formation of cell membrane lipid peroxides and protein oxidation, triggering cell death by apoptosis and/ or necrosis [36]. It has also been demonstrated that iron III induces blood coagulation [37] via hydroxyl radicals. Hydroxyl radicals convert soluble plasma fibrinogen into abnormal fibrin clots in the form of dense matted deposits resistant to enzymatic degradation [37,38].

We believe that once started, all these events occur independent of the causative agent, worsening the patient's condition.

Hypoxia and oxidative stress

Disseminated intravascular coagulopathy, sepsis, and decreased oxygen transport to the tissues are some of the main elements presented by COVID-19 patients [22]. Moreover, free heme in the blood decreases circulating nitric oxide (NO), further worsening the ischemia of the organs [24,39,40]. Despite hypoxemia, pulmonary compliance is preserved [27], indicating a possible mitochondrial impairment. Mitochondrial inability to produce ATP [41] has been described in experimental and human sepsis in normal oxygen saturation and tension. This points to mitochondria dysfunction as a crucial role for sepsis pathogenesis [41]. The impairment of mitochondria leads to cytopathic hypoxia [40–42] that results in oxygen partial reduction with ROS generation and reduced energy production.

In this regard, hypoxia that accompanies sepsis is known to generate superoxide, H₂O₂, and other reactive species mainly by the mitochondrial respiratory chain [40,41,43,44]. Hydrogen peroxide triggers the expression of many genes that upregulate pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-1, IL-6, and TNFα, and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) via activation of the NF-KB pathway [20-22]. In a cyclic manner, these pro-inflammatory cytokines activate macrophages, neutrophils, endothelium cells via NADPH oxidase (NOx) to produce more superoxide and H₂O₂ [20]. Further, superoxide radical reacts with NO produced by iNOS that is expressed via the NF-KB pathway, yielding peroxynitrite. Both peroxynitrite and NO are toxic to mitochondria [44]. This may explain the inability of the mitochondria to utilize oxygen despite normal tissue oxygen saturation [41]. This interplay between ROS and cytokines generates a self-sustaining cycle between cytokine storm and oxidative stress production that eventually leads to multiorgan failure in patients with COVID-19 who progress to sepsis and shock (Fig. 1).

Virus and oxidative stress

Several *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies have highlighted the strategy of some viruses to alter the redox balance of a cell in order to survive. Initiation of oxidative stress by virus infection was shown to play a key role in the activation of innate immunity via NF- κ B generation of cytokines to fight off pathogenic microbes [45–48]. Respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) infection has been shown to induce ROS production that, in

turn, induced the expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines and innate immune defense [49-51]. Additionally, several viruses induce oxidative stress in order to facilitate their replication inside the cell [52]. As a way to control ROS levels, these viruses have evolved to acquire the ability to manipulate the Nrf2 pathway in their favor [52–54]. In some cases, viruses have the ability to suppress the Nrf2 pathway [54,55]. For instance, RSV increases lipid peroxidation and decreases GSH in human alveolar type II-like epithelial cells and small airway epithelial cells and abrogate the activation of the Nrf2 pathway, resulting in a reduction in the expression of Nrf2 target genes, including hemoxigenase-1 (HO-1), superoxide dismutase 1 (SOD1), superoxide dismutase 3 (SOD3), glutathione S-transferase (GST), catalase (CAT), and glutathione peroxidase (GPx) [54]. At the same time, the Toll-like receptor and interferon (IFN) pathways are triggered by infection-induced oxidative stress to combat the virus infection [56,57]. On other hand, defective redox balance by a host cell has been shown to contribute to viral pathogenesis resulting in massive induction of cell death provoked by oxidative stress [58]. Influenza virus was shown to induce apoptosis and cytotoxicity in alveolar epithelial cells that were accompanied by increased expression of caspase 1 and 3 and a proinflammatory cytokine, IL-8 [59]. This virus induces oxidative stress, but at the same time facilitates the nuclear translocation of Nrf2 with subsequent expression of HO-1, a protective enzyme against oxidative injury in the human alveolar epithelial cells [59]. These findings confirm the idea that oxidative stress is importantly involved in the success or failure of the response to virus infection.

Therapeutic strategies

Shaping a therapy for a disease whose pathogeny is unclear is a challenge. If oxidative stress is believed to be the link of every mechanism known for SARS-CoV-2 infection, the use of antioxidants, such as vitamin E, SH group donor (N-acetyl cysteine), iron complexing agents (deferoxamine), inhibitors of NF-kB, activators of Nrf2 (curcumin, resveratrol), and the use of specific pro-inflammatory cytokine inhibitors and anticoagulants could help the recovery of patients with COVID-19.

It is useful to emphasize that there are clinical and experimental studies showing the effectiveness of antioxidants in the treatment of many diseases. An *in vitro* sepsis model showed that vitamin E (α to-copherol), Trolox (soluble vitamin E), and motVit E (a polar derivative) reduced mitochondrial damage/dysfunction, NF- κ B transcription molecule, and cytokine expression. MotVit E, which accumulates inside mitochondria, had a more efficient protective effect on genes linked to TLRs [60]. The treatment of animals with vitamin E in the model of acute sepsis also showed beneficial effects [61].

Triterpenoids (oltipraz (butylated hydroxyanisol), sulphoraphane and dimethyl fumarate, curcumin, silibinin, resveratrol) exert their antioxidant activity by activating Nrf2 and inhibiting NF-κB pathways [62]. High levels of blood peroxynitrite in 18 patients with sepsis were decreased using deferoxamine, an iron chelator used in patients with iron overload [29]. This indicates the potential application of this drug in iron complexing and peroxynitrite scavenging in patients with sepsis.

Glutathione (GSH) is the main antioxidant agent in mammalians and it is the most important antioxidant defense in the lungs [64]. When the balance between GSH/GSSG is disrupted by an increase in H_2O_2 , a more oxidative environment oxidizes proteins that control the activation and localization of transcription factors, such as KEAP-1, which regulates Nrf2, and I κ B, which regulates NF- κ B [45]. Thereby, SH group donors, activators of Nrf2 and NF- κ B inhibitors, through their regulator molecules (KEAP-1 and I κ B, respectively), are potential therapeutic options for SARS-CoV-2 infection. A case report shows that this strategy successfully reverted the severe symptoms of COVID-19 patients [65]. Lipoic acid, also a SH donor, was used in a randomized study and reduced the mortality rate from 77.8% to 37.5% [66].

Certainly, a different approach is on the table, one where we seek

new drug strategies directly targeting the host response to viral aggression, rather than the SARS-CoV-2 virus, in which antioxidants can exert their action by breaking the cytokine storm oxidative stress cycle, decreasing hematological damage and reducing cytopathic hypoxia.

Conclusion

It appears that oxidative stress plays a role in the pathogenesis of COVID-19, perpetuates the cytokine storm cycle, blood clotting mechanism, and exacerbates hypoxia. Taken together, this evidence indicates an important participation of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of viral infection in all direct tissue injury, including mitochondrial dysfunction, and in the signaling of the process (Fig. 1).

Further, it indicates an interplay between oxidative stress and the cytokine storm as a mechanism that sustains and worsens the tissue injury, which terminates in hypoxia and organ failure. Moreover, it reveals that the SARS-CoV-2 virus may interfere with the equilibrium between the NF-kb transcription molecule involved in the expression of cytokines and Nrf2 activation, responsible for the expression of antioxidant enzymes (yet to be proved conclusively). We are aware of the importance of the role of oxidative stress in the pathogenesis of viral infection and sepsis, however, its participation in COVID-19 disease remains unclear [63]. We believe that there is crosstalk between the cytokine storm and oxidative stress. This crosstalk may play an important role in the severity of symptoms presented by patients with COVID-19 infection. Thus, it is reasonable to propose a pathogenesis model of coronavirus syndrome with primary lung injury and late hematological, tissue hypoxemia (cytopathic hypoxemia), and mitochondrial dysfunction due to the involvement of oxidative stress, which is supported by robust experimental evidence presented by the scientific literature. Thereby, we aim to contribute to a rational therapeutic decision.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mehy.2020.110102.

References

- Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus – the species and its viruses, a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. Nat Microbiol 2020;5:536–44.
- [2] Xu Z, Shi L, Wang Y, et al. Pathological findings of COVID-19 associated with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8(4):420–2.
- [3] Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, et al. Clinical Characteristics of 138 Hospitalized Patients With 2019 Novel Coronavirus-Infected Pneumonia in Wuhan, China. JAMA 2019;2020. https://doi.org/10.1001/JAMA2020.1585.
- [4] Hui Li, Liang Liu, Dingyu Zhang, Jiuyang Xu, Huaping Dai, Nan Tang, Xiao Su, Bin Cao. SARS-CoV-2 and viral sepsis: observations and hypotheses. www.thelancet. com Published online April 17; 2020. doi:10.1016/S0140- 6736(20)30920-X.
- [5] Varga Z, Flammer AJ, Steiger P, et al. Endothelial cell infection and endotheliitis in COVID-19. Lancet 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140- 6736(20)30937-5.
- [6] Bonetti PO, Lerman LO, Lerman A. Endothelial dysfunction a marker of atherosclerotic risk. Arterioscl Throm Vas 2003;23:168–75.
- [7] Qian L, Rongshuai W, Guoqiang Q, et al. A report on the general observation of a 2019 novel coronavirus autopsy. J Forens Sci 2020;36:1–3.
- [8] Tian S, Hu W, Niu L, Liu H, Xu H, Xiao S-Y, et al. novel coronavirus (COVID-19) pneumonia in two patients with lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol 2019;2020. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jtho.2020.02.010.
- [9] Chousterman BG, Swirski FK, Weber GF. Cytokine storm and sepsis disease pathogenesis. Seminars Immunopathol 2017;39(5):517–28.
- [10] Shimabukuro-Vornhagen Alexander, Gödel Philipp, Subklewe Marion, Stemmler Hans Joachim, Schlößer Hans Anton, Schlaak Max, Kochanek Matthias, Böll Boris, von Bergwelt-Baildon Michael S. Cytokine release syndrome. J. Immunotherapy

Cancer 2018;6(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-018-0343-9.

- [11] Wan S, Yi Q, Fan S, et al. Characteristics of lymphocyte subsets and cytokines in peripheral blood of 123 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia (NCP). medRxiv 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.037.
- [12] Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. Lancet 2020;395:507–13.
- [13] Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395:497–506.
- [14] Liu J, Li S, Liu J, et al. Longitudinal characteristics of lymphocyte responses and cytokine profiles in the peripheral blood of SARS-CoV-2 infected patients. medRxiv 2020. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.16.20023671.
- [15] Iwasaki A, Pillai PS. Innate immunity to influenza virus infection. Nat Rev Immunol 2014;14:315–28.
- [16] Mehta P, McAuley DF, Brown M, et al. COVID-19: consider cytokine storm syndromes and immunosuppression. Lancet 2020;395:28.
- [17] Braciale TJ, Sun J, Kim TS. Regulating the adaptive immune response to respiratory virus infection. Nature Rev Immunol 2012;12:295–305.
- [18] Muralidharan S, Mandrekar P. Cellular stress response and innate immune signaling: integrating pathways in host defense and inflammation. J Leukoc Biol 2013;94(6):1167–84.
- [19] Chen Y, Zhou Z, Min W. Mitochondria, oxidative stress and innate immunity. Front. Physiol 2018;18(9):1487.
- [20] Nanduri J, Yuan G, Kumar GK, et al. Transcriptional responses to intermittent hypoxia. Respir. Physiol. Neurobiol. 2008;164:277–81.
- [21] Takada Y, Mukhopadhyay A, Kundu GC, et al. Hydrogen peroxide activates NF-KB through tyrosine phosphorylation of IκBα and serine phosphorylation of p65. J Biol Chem 2003;278(26):24233–41.
- [22] Connors JM, Levy JH. COVID-19 and its implications for thrombosis and anticoagulation. Blood 2020;27. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood.2020006000.
- [23] Effenberger-Neidnicht K, Hartmann M. Mechanisms of hemolysis during sepsis. Inflammation 2018;41:5.
- [24] Lippi G, Mattiuzzi C. Hemoglobin value may be decreased in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019. Hematol Transfus Cell Ther 2020. https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.HTCT.2020.03.001.
- [25] Yao XH, Li TY, He ZC, et al. A pathological report of three COVID-19 cases by minimally invasive autopsies. Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi 2020;49:E009. https:// doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.cn112151-20200312-00193.
- [26] Klok FA, Kruip MJHA, van der Meer NJM, Arbous MS, Gommers DAMPJ, Kant KM, Kaptein FHJ, van Paassen J, Stals MAM, Huisman MV, Endeman H. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. Thrombosis Res 2020;191:145–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.thromres.2020.04.013.
- [27] Negri EM, Piloto BM, Morinaga LK, et al. Heparin therapy improving hypoxia in COVID-19 patients-a case series. medRxiv doi:10.1101/2020.04.15.20067017.
- [28] Simao ANC, Suzukawa AA, Casado MF, et al. Genistein abrogates pre- hemolytic and oxidative stress damage induced by 2,2V-Azobis (Amidinopropane). Life Sci 2006;78:1202–10.
- [29] Oliveira YPA, Pontes-de-Carvalho LC, Couto RD, et al. Oxidative stress in sepsis. Possible production of free radicals through an erythrocyte-mediated positive feedback mechanism. Braz J Infect Dis 2017;21(1):19–26.
- [30] Vasconcellos LRC, Dutra FF, Siqueira MS, et al. Protein aggregation as a cellular response to oxidative stress induced by heme and iron. PNAS 2016;113(47):F7474-82
- [31] Fenga P, Ma L, Xua F, Gou X, et al. In vivo bioluminescence imaging of labile iron pools in a murine model of sepsis with a highly selective probe. Talanta 2019;203:29–33
- [32] Weis S, Carlos AR, Moita MR, et al. Metabolic adaptation establishes disease tolerance to sepsis. Cell 2017;169:1263–75.
- [33] Prauchner CA. Oxidative stress in sepsis: Pathophysiological implications justifying antioxidant co-therapy. Burns 2017;43(3):471–85.
- [34] Raffaella Trentadue, Fiore Flavio, Fabrizia Massaro, Francesco Papa, Arcangela Iuso, Salvatore Scacco, et al. Induction of mitochondrial dysfunction and oxidative stress in human fibroblast cultures exposed to serum from septic patients. Life Sci 2012;91:237–43.
- [35] Koskenkorva-Frank TS, Weiss G, Koppenol WH, et al. The complex interplay of iron metabolism, reactive oxygen species, and reactive nitrogen species: Insights into the potential of various iron therapies to induce oxidative and nitrosative stress. Free Radic Biol Med 2013;65:1174–94.
- [36] Jerzy J, Philip L, Pretorius E, Skrzypczak-Jankun E, Lipinski B. Unusual clotting dynamics of plasma supplemented with iron (III). Int J Mol Med 2014;33:367–72.
- [37] Pretorius E, Bester J, Vermeulen N, et al. Oxidation inhibits iron-induced blood coagulation. Current Drug 2013;14(1):13–9.
- [38] Schaer DJ, Buehler PW, Alayash AI, et al. Hemolysis and free hemoglobin revisited: exploring hemoglobin and hemin scavenger as a novel class of therapeutic proteins. Blood 2013;121:1276–84.
- [39] Weinberg JA, Barnum SR, Patel RP. Red blood cell age and potentiation of transfusion-related pathology in trauma patients. Transfusion 2011;51:867–73.
- [40] Mantzarlis K, Tsolaki V, Zakynthinos E. Role of oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in sepsis and potential therapies. Ox Med Cell Long 2017. https://doi. org/10.1155/2017/5985209.
- [41] Fink MP. "Bench-to-bedside review": cytopathic hypoxia. Crit Care 2002;6(6):491–9.
- [42] Ottolenghi S, Zulueta A, Caretti A. Iron and sphingolipids as common players of (Mal)adaptation to hypoxia in pulmonary diseases. Int J Mol Sci 2020;21:307.
- [43] Takeda K, Shimada Y, Amano M, et al. Plasma lipid peroxides and alpha- tocopherol in critically ill patients. Crit Care Med 1984;12:11.

- [44] Ademowo OS, Dias HKI, Burton DGA, et al. Lipid (per) oxidation in mitochondria: an emerging target in the ageing process? Biogerontol 2017;18:859–79.
- [45] Winterbourn CC, Hampton MB. Thiol chemistry and specificity in redox signaling. Free Rad Biol Med 2008;45:549–61.
- [46] Day RM, Suzuki YJ. Cell proliferation, reactive oxygen and cellular glutathione. Dose Resp 2005;3:3.
- [47] Narayanan A, Amaya M, Voss K, et al. Reactive oxygen species activate NFxB (p65) and p53 and induce apoptosis in RVFV infected liver cells. Virology 2014;449:270–86.
- [48] Kim HJ, Kim CH, Ryu JH, et al. Reactive oxygen species induce antiviral innate immune response through IFN-λ regulation in human nasal epithelial cells. Am J Resp Cell Mol Biol 2013;49(5):855–65.
- [49] Liu T, Castro S, Brasier AR, et al. Reactive oxygen species mediate virus induced STAT activation: role of tyrosine phosphatases. J Biol Chem 2004;279(4):2461–9.
- [50] Casola A, Burger N, Liu T, et al. Oxidant tone regulates RANTES gene expression in airway epithelial cells infected with respiratory syncytial virus role in viral-induced interferon regulatory factor activation. J Biol Chem 2001;276(23):19715–22.
- [51] Lee YH, Lai CL, Hsieh SH, et al. Influenza A virus induction of oxidative stress and MMP-9 is associated with severe lung pathology in a mouse model. Virus Res 2013;178(2):411–22.
- [52] Gjyshi O, Bottero V, Veettil MV, et al. Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus induces Nrf 2 during de novo infection of endothelial cells to create a microenvironment conducive to infection. PLoS Pathog 2014;10:10.
- [53] Liu B, Fang M, He Z, et al. Hepatitis B virus stimulates G6PD expression through HBx-mediated Nrf 2 activation. Cell Death Dis 2015;6:11.
- [54] Hosakote YM, Jantzi PD, Esham DL, et al. Viral-mediated inhibition of antioxidant enzymes contributes to the pathogenesis of severe respiratory syncytial virus bronchiolitis. Am J Resp Crit Care Med 2011;183(11):1550–60.
- [55] Komaravelli N, Ansar M, Garofalo RP, et al. Respiratory syncytial virus induces NRF2 degradation through a promyelocytic leukemia protein–ring finger protein 4 dependent pathway. Free Rad Biol Med 2017;113:494–504.
- [56] Imai Y, Kuba K, Neely GG, et al. Identification of oxidative stress and Toll-like receptor 4 signaling as a key pathway of acute lung injury. Cell

2008;133(2):235-49.

- [57] Cho HY, Imani F, Miller-DeGraff L, et al. Antiviral activity of Nrf 2 in a murine model of respiratory syncytial virus disease. Am J Resp Crit Care Med 2009;179(2):138–50.
- [58] Garofalo RP, Kolli D, Casola A. Respiratory syncytial virus infection: mechanisms of redox control and novel therapeutic opportunities. Antiox Redox Sign 2013;18(2):186–217.
- [59] Kosmider B, Messier EM, Janssen WJ, et al. Nrf 2 protects human alveolar epithelial cells against injury induced by influenza A virus. Resp Res 2012;13(1):43.
- [60] Minter BE, Lowes DA, Nigel R, et al. Diferential effects of MitoVitE, α-tocopherol and trolox on oxidative stress, mitochondrial function and inflammatory signaling pathways in endothelial cells cultured under conditions mimicking sepsis. Antioxidants 2020;9:195.
- [61] Vícto VM, Esplugues JV, Hernández-Mijares A, Rocha M. Oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction in sepsis: a potential therapy with mitochondria-targeted antioxidants infectious disorders. Drug Targets 2009;9:376–89.
- [62] Panieri E, Buha A, Telkoparan-Akillilar P, et al. Potential applications of NRF2 modulators in cancer therapy. Antioxidants 2020;9:193.
- [63] Delgado-Roche Livan, Mesta Fernando. Oxidative stress as key player in severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) infection. Arch Med Res 2020;51(5):384–7. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arcmed.2020.04.019.
- [64] Cantin AM, North SL, Hubbard RC, et al. Normal alveolar epithelial lining fluid contains high levels of glutathione. J. Appl. Physiol. 1987;63(1):152–7.
- [65] Horowitz RI, Freeman PR, Bruzzese J. Efficacy of glutathione therapy in relieving dyspnea associated with COVID-19 pneumonia: a report of 2 cases. Resp Med Case Reports 2020. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmcr.2020.101063.
- [66] Zhong Ming, Sun Aijun, Xiao Ting, Yao Ge, LingSang Xia Zheng, Zhang Jinyan, Jin Xuejuan, Lei Xu, Yang Wenlong, Wang Peng, Kai Hu, Zhang Dingyu, Ge Junbo. A Randomized, Single-blind, Group sequential, Active- controlled Study to evaluate the clinical efficacy and safety of α -Lipoic acid for critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). MedRxiv 2019. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020. 04.15.20066266.